

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Guited States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Ber 1150 Alsonoton, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usptu.gec

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/659,394	09/11/2003	Tetsunori Kaji	500.41316VX1	3780	
20457 7	7590 06-08/2004		EXAMINER		
ANTONELLI. TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			HASSANZAD	HASSANZADEH. PARVIZ	
SUITE 1800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889		1763			

DATE MAILED: 06/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		4	\mathcal{L}			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/659,394	KAJI ET AL.				
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL INC DATE of this communication	Parviz Hassanzadeh	1763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	J. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be sply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ARMINO.	e timely filed days will be considered timely. orn the maining are not this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	March 2004					
	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 6-8 is/are pending in the application	l.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawithd						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) 6-8 is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2003 is		ected to by the Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1190	a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		-7 (-7 (-7)				
 Certified copies of the priority document 	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/090,759.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	ved.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/11/03</u> .	6) Other:	гасы Аррисавон (РТО-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 5/2004				

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: in lines 12-13, it is suggested to delete the word "referencing" or "reference" as being redundant. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Le et al (US Patent No. 6,153,115).

Le et al teach a plasma process apparatus (Figs. 1A, 1B) including a spectroscopic endpoint detection device and method of using the same wherein the method comprising:

separating spectrally plasma radiation emitted from a vacuum process chamber 12 into component spectra; and converting said component spectra into a time series of analogue electric signals composed of different wavelength components at a predetermined period as shown in Fig. 2:

adding together analogue signals of the different wavelength components, converting a plurality of added signals into digital quantities on a predetermined-period basis; and digitally

adding together said plurality of added and converted signals a plural number of times on a plural-signal basis as shown in Fig. 3;

determining discriminatively an end point of a predetermined plasma process on the basis of a signal resulting from said digital addition step; and terminating said predetermined plasma process (column 5, line 30 through column 8, line 65).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Saito et al (US Patent No. 6,586,262 B1) teach a method of determining an end point of a plasma process using an emission spectroscope (Fig. 1) wherein a plasma emission spectra (Fig. 2) over time is measured and the intensity of the total spectra as a function of time (Fig. 4) is monitored and a change in the intensity of the measured spectra is correlated with an endpoint of the process;

Smith, Jr. et al (US Patent No. 6,383,402 B1), Yano et al (US Patent No. 6,414,499 B2), Yao et al (US Patent No. 6,306,669 B1), and Yi et al (US Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0085198 A1) teach a plasma monitoring emission spectroscopic apparatus including a CCD array of receiving elements, wherein the analogue output of each receiving element is converted into a digital signal and sent to a signal processing unit.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Parviz Hassanzadeh whose telephone number is (571)272-1435. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

Art Unit: 1763

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Mills can be reached on (571)272-1439. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Parviz Hassanzadeh Primary Examiner Art Unit 1763

May 20, 2004